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# Article summary:

1. Non-naturalist moral realism claims that moral truths correspond to irreducible, purely normative facts that are not natural or dependent on human judgment.

2. This view implies that if naturalism is true, then nothing matters and there is no reason to act morally.

3. The problem with non-naturalism is that it forces us to conditionalize our ethical beliefs on the existence of non-natural facts, which can lead to immoral conditionalizations and nihilistic attitudes towards morality.

# Article rating:

Appears moderately imbalanced: The article provides some useful information, but is missing several important points or pieces of evidence that would be required to present the discussed topics in a balanced and reliable way. You are encouraged to seek a more balanced perspective on the presented issues by exploring the provided research topics and looking at different information sources.

# Article analysis:

由于本文是一篇哲学论文，其内容较为专业和抽象，难以进行批判性分析。然而，可以指出的是，文章作者在阐述自己的观点时使用了一些偏激的措辞，如将非自然主义视为“不道德”的信仰，并暗示这种信仰会导致“虚无主义”。此外，文章也存在一些未考虑到的因素和可能存在的反驳。总体来说，需要更多的专业知识和背景才能对这篇文章进行全面的批判性分析。

# Topics for further research:

* Non-naturalism in philosophy
* Moral realism vs. moral anti-realism
* The problem of moral motivation
* The is-ought gap
* The role of intuition in moral reasoning
* Criticisms of non-naturalism in ethics
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