# Article information:

科工力量：对美光启动网络安全审查，不宜解读成“科技战”
<https://www.guancha.cn/kegongliliang/2023_04_07_687342.shtml>

# Article summary:

1. The network security review of Micron's products sold in China is not a result of the Sino-US technological war, but rather a measure to protect national information security.

2. The grievances between Micron, UMC, and Jinhua are related to an intellectual property dispute, but Jinhua should not bear the negative consequences as a third party.

3. The reason for launching the network security review of Micron may be due to discovered security risks in their storage products, rather than targeting them specifically for political reasons.

# Article rating:

Appears strongly imbalanced: The article is written in a biased or one-sided way, and the information it provides is not trustworthy enough to be considered a reliable source. You should consult other sources to find reliable information on the presented issues.

# Article analysis:

该文章对Micron启动网络安全审查的原因进行了分析，但存在一些偏见和不完整的报道。首先，文章将Micron、UMC和Jinhua之间的知识产权纠纷简单地归结为UMC挖人和技术窃取，而没有探讨更深层次的原因。其次，文章认为Jinhua只是在寻找合作伙伴时“不友好”，并且没有承担任何责任，这种观点也是片面的。最后，文章没有提供足够的证据来支持其主张，例如Micron产品存在安全风险。

此外，该文章可能存在宣传内容和偏袒之嫌。作者未能平等地呈现双方，并且可能忽略了中国政府在网络安全方面采取行动的潜在风险。需要更加客观、全面地报道这个问题，并避免过度解读和偏见。

# Topics for further research:

* Deeper reasons behind the IP dispute between Micron
* UMC
* and Jinhua
* Jinhua's responsibility in the IP dispute
* Lack of evidence to support claims of security risks in Micron's products
* Potential bias and promotion in the article
* Need for more objective and comprehensive reporting
* Potential risks of Chinese government actions in cybersecurity

# Report location:

<https://www.fullpicture.app/item/8162bf1f8c0bbb18c5cfd23fb4f0ebb5>