# Article information:

A Brief History of Time is ‘wrong’, Stephen Hawking told collaborator | Stephen Hawking | The Guardian  
<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2023/mar/19/stephen-hawking-told-me-ive-changed-my-mind-my-book-is-wrong>

# Article summary:

1. Stephen Hawking told collaborator Thomas Hertog that his book A Brief History of Time was written from the wrong perspective, leading to a collaboration on a theory about the universe's creation of life.

2. The collaboration was not easy due to Hawking's deteriorating condition from motor neurone disease, but they worked together for 20 years to develop a theory that better accounted for the emergence of life.

3. Their final theory is outlined in the upcoming book On the Origin of Time, which takes a Darwinian perspective and rejects the idea that the universe is governed by unconditional laws with a prior existence.

# Article rating:

Appears moderately imbalanced: The article provides some useful information, but is missing several important points or pieces of evidence that would be required to present the discussed topics in a balanced and reliable way. You are encouraged to seek a more balanced perspective on the presented issues by exploring the provided research topics and looking at different information sources.

# Article analysis:

The article "A Brief History of Time is ‘wrong’, Stephen Hawking told collaborator" by The Guardian provides an overview of the upcoming book, On the Origin of Time, which was co-authored by Stephen Hawking and Thomas Hertog. The article highlights how Hawking changed his perspective on the universe's creation and how he and Hertog worked together to develop a new theory that could better account for the emergence of life.

While the article provides some interesting insights into the collaboration between Hawking and Hertog, it has several potential biases and missing points of consideration. For example, the article presents only one side of the story, focusing solely on Hertog's perspective. It does not explore any counterarguments or alternative views on their theory.

Additionally, the article contains some unsupported claims, such as when it argues that without certain properties in the universe, life would not exist as we know it. While this may be true, there is no evidence presented to support this claim.

Furthermore, the article seems to have a promotional tone towards On the Origin of Time. It repeatedly mentions its upcoming publication date and even compares its title to Charles Darwin's On the Origin of Species. This promotional content may lead readers to question whether there are any potential risks or limitations associated with their theory.

Overall, while The Guardian's article provides some interesting insights into Hawking and Hertog's collaboration and their new theory about the universe's creation, it has several potential biases and missing points of consideration that should be taken into account when evaluating its claims.

# Topics for further research:

* Criticisms of Hawking and Hertog's theory on the origin of time
* Alternative theories on the emergence of life in the universe
* The role of chance and probability in the creation of the universe
* The limitations of scientific understanding of the universe's creation
* The impact of Hawking's changing perspectives on his previous work
* The potential implications of Hawking and Hertog's theory on the future of cosmology research.
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