# Article information:

请对照这二十四条逻辑谬误自行打脸
[https://mp.weixin.qq.com/s?\_\_biz=MzI0MjUxODEyMA%3D%3D=2247484759=1=2eda74a3c375c3da1ea318b3597161c7=e97a5450de0ddd467b8cf9ae73a1deae0dc87779854242e8b8689a5921f584368667a8babe90=21](https://mp.weixin.qq.com/s?__biz=MzI0MjUxODEyMA%3D%3D&mid=2247484759&idx=1&sn=2eda74a3c375c3da1ea318b3597161c7&chksm=e97a5450de0ddd467b8cf9ae73a1deae0dc87779854242e8b8689a5921f584368667a8babe90&scene=21)

# Article summary:

1. The article lists 24 common logical fallacies that people often use in debates and discussions.

2. Each fallacy is explained with examples to help readers understand how they are used.

3. The article encourages readers to bookmark it and use it as a reference when encountering logical fallacies in discussions, and to call out others who use them.

# Article rating:

Appears moderately imbalanced: The article provides some useful information, but is missing several important points or pieces of evidence that would be required to present the discussed topics in a balanced and reliable way. You are encouraged to seek a more balanced perspective on the presented issues by exploring the provided research topics and looking at different information sources.

# Article analysis:

该文章列举了二十四种逻辑谬误，但是在其内容中存在一些问题。首先，该文章没有提供足够的证据来支持其所列举的每一种逻辑谬误。其次，该文章可能存在偏见和片面报道，因为它只关注了逻辑谬误而忽略了其他重要的讨论点。此外，该文章也没有探索反驳或可能存在的风险，并且未能平等地呈现双方观点。

例如，在第一条“稻草人”逻辑谬误中，作者提到了一个例子来说明这种谬误的概念。然而，这个例子可能并不充分或准确地代表了这种逻辑谬误。同样，在第五条“滑坡”逻辑谬误中，作者提到了一个关于同性恋婚姻的例子来说明这种谬误。然而，这个例子可能过于极端和不切实际。

此外，在整篇文章中，作者似乎只关注了如何指出别人犯错而忽略了如何避免自己犯错。因此，该文章可能会导致读者过度强调别人的错误而忽略自己的错误。

总之，虽然该文章提供了有用的信息和知识，但是它也存在一些问题，需要读者自行判断和分析。

# Topics for further research:

* Critical thinking skills
* Logical fallacies and biases
* Counterarguments and risks
* Balanced presentation of viewpoints
* Self-reflection and self-awareness
* Further research and analysis

# Report location:
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