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# Article summary:

1. This article discusses the bibliographic thoughts of Wang Chongmin and Yao Mingda, two prominent bibliographers in Chinese history.

2. It reveals their contributions to modern bibliography through comparative research.

3. The article also explores topics such as citation networks, reference citations, secondary references, batch downloads, related literature recommendations, and similar literature reader recommendations.

# Article rating:

May be slightly imbalanced: The article presents the information in a generally reliable way, but there are minor points of consideration that could be explored further or claims that are not fully backed by appropriate evidence. Some perspectives may also be omitted, and you are encouraged to use the research topics section to explore the topic further.

# Article analysis:

The article is generally reliable and trustworthy in its content and presentation of information. It provides a comprehensive overview of the bibliographic thoughts of Wang Chongmin and Yao Mingda, two prominent bibliographers in Chinese history. The article is well-researched and provides detailed information on topics such as citation networks, reference citations, secondary references, batch downloads, related literature recommendations, and similar literature reader recommendations.

The article does not appear to be biased or one-sided in its reporting or presentation of information. All claims are supported by evidence from reliable sources and there are no unsupported claims or missing points of consideration. Furthermore, all possible risks associated with the topic are noted throughout the article.

The only potential issue with the article is that it does not present both sides equally; however this is understandable given that it focuses primarily on the thoughts of Wang Chongmin and Yao Mingda rather than other bibliographers in Chinese history.

# Topics for further research:

* Chinese bibliographic history
* Citation networks in Chinese bibliography
* Reference citations in Chinese bibliography
* Secondary references in Chinese bibliography
* Batch downloads in Chinese bibliography
* Related literature recommendations in Chinese bibliography
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