# Article information:

The people who think 9/11 may have been an 'inside job' - BBC News  
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/blogs-trending-42195513>

# Article summary:

1. On 11 September 2001, four passenger planes were hijacked by radical Islamist terrorists and almost 3,000 people were killed.

2. A conspiracy theory surfaced online which persists more than 16 years later, claiming that the towers were demolished with explosives rather than weakened by the inferno from the planes.

3. Matt Campbell's brother Geoff was in the World Trade Centre on 9/11 and his death was not confirmed until 2013.

# Article rating:

Appears strongly imbalanced: The article is written in a biased or one-sided way, and the information it provides is not trustworthy enough to be considered a reliable source. You should consult other sources to find reliable information on the presented issues.

# Article analysis:

The article is about a conspiracy theory that has been circulating for over 16 years regarding the events of 9/11. The article discusses how this theory originated and how it has been perpetuated over time, as well as discussing one family’s experience of losing a loved one in the attacks. The article is biased in its presentation of the facts surrounding 9/11, as it does not present both sides equally or explore counterarguments to the conspiracy theory presented. It also fails to provide any evidence for its claims or to note any possible risks associated with believing in such theories. Furthermore, it does not address any of the other theories that have been proposed regarding 9/11, such as those involving government complicity or involvement in planning or allowing the attacks to happen. Additionally, there is no mention of any potential sources of bias in terms of who wrote or produced the article and what their motivations may be for doing so. In conclusion, while this article provides an interesting perspective on one particular conspiracy theory related to 9/11, it fails to provide a balanced view of all sides of this issue and does not adequately address potential sources of bias or missing points of consideration when discussing this topic.

# Topics for further research:

* 9/11 conspiracy theories
* Government involvement in 9/11
* Evidence for 9/11 conspiracy theories
* Risks of believing in 9/11 conspiracy theories
* Sources of bias in 9/11 conspiracy theories
* Counterarguments to 9/11 conspiracy theories

# Report location:

<https://www.fullpicture.app/item/33c1ba8a14785b1bd511f8a54e1a80b3>